

Technical Merit (Quality) Scores: Total 200 Marks (20% Portion)

1. Construction Management in a Live School Environment

Maximum Marks: 50 (5%)

Submission Limit: 4 x A4 pages

Describe your approach to managing construction works on a live secondary school site. Reference should be paid to the Works sequence as per Oppermann drawings no. 0004 and 0006

Your response should include:

- Proposed access routes and traffic management for staff, pupils, and deliveries
- Measures to minimise dust, noise, and vibration during construction of the extension and associated demolition works and in particular demolition of existing demonstration room works to facilitate new circulation and locker space.
- Separation of construction and school activities for safety and safeguarding with particular reference to the works to the existing and extended internal access road and temporary arrangements therein.
- Maintenance of existing fire escape routes from the school and sports hall and other emergency access arrangements and communication with the school

2. Project Programme and Phasing Strategy

Maximum Marks: 50 (5%)

Submission Limit: Gantt Chart + 3 x A4 pages explanatory text

Provide a Level 4 programme including phasing proposals.

Your programme should indicate the intention to have an LOA issued circa 18th December 2026

Your submission should demonstrate:

- How phasing aligns with school operations
- How you will manage transition between refurbishment and new build works
- Your plan for sequencing key milestones – To include requirement to reach eaves level of the 2 storey extension by 10th August 2027 to ensure the Planning Permission can be extended under a Section 42. Milestones to be clearly identified eg; Each side of the internal access road, ballcourt, new 2 storey extension, single storey break through into existing school, fire tender access route etc..
- Identification and management of long-lead items, in particular Works Section 1.
- Contractor to review in detail the proposed Works Sections/phasing as outlined in Volume A Works Requirements (Project Particulars and drwg numbers 0004 and 0006) and provide a detailed review of their assessment

3. Resource Strategy for Live School Construction

Maximum Marks: 40 (4%)

Submission Limit: 2 x A3 sheet (including organisation chart)

Provide an organisation chart showing project-specific resourcing, including:

- Site and off-site roles (e.g. project manager, site supervisor, H&S)

- Dedicated point of contact for school liaison
- Time commitment of each resource
- Strategy for managing sub-contractors

4. Quality Assurance and Minimising Defects at Handover

Maximum Marks: 30 (3%)

Submission Limit: 3 x A4 pages

Explain your approach to delivering a defect-free handover.

Include:

- Internal quality inspections & audits
- Coordination with subcontractors and specialists
- Your proposed snagging and close-out process
- Early-stage mock-up approvals and sample sign-offs

5. Green Public Procurement

Maximum Marks: 30 (3%)

Submission Limit: 3 x A4 pages

Outline how your proposal will support Green Public Procurement policy, including:

- Use of recycled and low-carbon materials
- Energy-efficient site accommodation and practices
- Approach to waste minimisation and recycling
- Low-emission machinery and alternative fuels (e.g., HVO)

The Marking of the Technical Merit (Quality) Scores

The marking of the Technical Merit (Quality) Scores will be based on a standardised scoring system for each of the technical criteria and sub criteria as set out below:

- An excellent response - Score 5:

Exceeds the requirement. An excellent response that answers the question with precision and relevance. Exceptional demonstration by the Tenderer of the relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources and quality measures required to provide the Works. Includes improvement through added value/innovation.

- A good response - Score 4:

The proposal meets the required standard, which may include additional benefits. A good response in terms of detail and relevance to the question. A good demonstration by the Tenderer of the relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources and quality measures required to provide the Works.

- An acceptable response - Score 3:

The proposal satisfies the required standard, perhaps with very minor reservations. A response with an acceptable level of detail and relevance. An acceptable demonstration by the Tenderer

of the relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources, and quality measures required to provide the Works.

- An unsatisfactory response - Score 2:

The proposal is unsatisfactory and fails to meet the required standard in most aspects. The response contains limited or irrelevant information and/or only partially addresses the question. Significant reservations as to the Tenderer's relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources and quality measures required to provide the Works.

- An inadequate response - Score 1:

The proposal is inadequate and fails to meet the required standard. The response has an inadequate level of detail provided; and/or questions have not been answered; and/or answers are not directly relevant to the question. Major reservations as to the Tenderer's relevant ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources and quality measures required to provide the Works.

- Not eligible for consideration - Score 0:

No response; or the response completely fails to meet the required standard. The response is completely deficient in terms of details and relevance. Completely fails to demonstrate that the Tenderer has the ability, understanding, experience, skills, resources and quality measures required to provide the Works.

A mark will be awarded to each criterion and sub-criterion from 0 to 5 (as set out above). This mark using the appropriate multiplier, will be used to calculate the score to be awarded.

For example, if a score of 100 is available, the base score multiplier is 20 ($100 / 5$). If the Tenderer is marked 5 (excellent), a score of 100 marks will be awarded (20×5). If the Tenderer is marked 2 (a response where significant reservations exist), a score of 40 marks will be awarded (20×2).